

Hi George:

This is a possibility. I'll have to discuss with my management for budget reasons. I want to stick with Eramet because of the ferroalloys residual risk assessment interest. East Liverpool is a different industry - shipping, packaging, or something like that, but certainly would provide the study with a higher exposed population. Demographics are quite different (a lot poorer than Marietta or Mount Vernon). I'm hoping we can control for this in the statistical analysis instead of trying to find a second comparison community.

Michelle also asked the same thing. I think it's a really good thought.

Steph

-----Original Message-----

From: Bollweg.George@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:Bollweg.George@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2008 4:32 PM

To: Davis, Stephanie I. (ATSDR/DHS/HIBR)

Subject: Re: Weekly Standing Calls to Revamp the 2008 MOVE Study Protocol for A Second External Peer Review

Hi Stephanie - just off the top of my head, maybe this is moot - if you're wiping the slate clean but still thinking of a manganese study, does East Liverpool-SH Bell make any sense to consider? Seems like Marietta adults and children will get attention, and if East Liverpool Mn air concentrations are still higher than elsewhere, it might be of greater interest. And didn't Ohio ask ATSDR for something like this?

Here's 2008 and 2007 AIRS outdoor air Mn data:

Monitor Values Report - Hazardous Air Pollutants

Geographic Area: Columbiana Co, OH

Pollutant: Manganese (TSP)

Year: 2008

(Embedded image moved to file: pic18040.jpg)

Monitor Values Report - Hazardous Air Pollutants

Geographic Area: Columbiana Co, OH

Pollutant: Manganese (TSP)

Year: 2007

(Embedded image moved to file: pic07986.jpg)

RE: Weekly Standing Calls to
Revamp the 2008 MOVE Study
Protocol for A Second External
Peer Review

Hi George:

This is a possibility. I'll have to discuss with my management for budget reasons. I want to stick with Eramet because of the ferroalloys residual risk assessment interest. East Liverpool is a different industry - shipping, packaging, or something like that, but certainly would provide the study with a higher exposed population. Demographics are quite different (a lot poorer than Marietta or Mount Vernon). I'm hoping we can control for this in the statistical analysis instead of trying to find a second comparison community.

Michelle also asked the same thing. I think it's a really good thought.

Steph

-----Original Message-----

From: Bollweg.George@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:Bollweg.George@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2008 4:32 PM

To: Davis, Stephanie I. (ATSDR/DHS/HIBR)

Subject: Re: Weekly Standing Calls to Revamp the 2008 MOVE Study Protocol for A Second External Peer Review

Hi Stephanie - just off the top of my head, maybe this is moot - if you're wiping the slate clean but still thinking of a manganese study, does East Liverpool-SH Bell make any sense to consider? Seems like Marietta adults and children will get attention, and if East Liverpool Mn air concentrations are still higher than elsewhere, it might be of greater interest. And didn't Ohio ask ATSDR for something like this?
Here's 2008 and 2007 AIRS outdoor air Mn data:

Monitor Values Report - Hazardous Air Pollutants

Geographic Area: Columbiana Co, OH

Pollutant: Manganese (TSP)

Year: 2008

(Embedded image moved to file: pic18040.jpg)

Monitor Values Report - Hazardous Air Pollutants

Geographic Area: Columbiana Co, OH

Pollutant: Manganese (TSP)

Year: 2007

(Embedded image moved to file: pic07986.jpg)

Carl- Good point about the two sites. I can have a talk with Ohio EPA about SH Bell separately...I am intrigued about the enforcement action and need to make sure Paul knows I am close to finishing a consult so he and I can collaborate. Also, I think Bill MacDowell needs to be in any discussion re: enforcement with Ohio EPA because it sounds like Ohio EPA would prefer to finish their action separate from anything done by USEPA... So, maybe we can do an SH Bell call the following week as a larger group.

Unfortunately, I am not in control of the meetings in July to make the agenda. BUT, that said, I can and will ask our ATSDR principle investigator (epidemiologist), Stephanie Davis, for a tentative schedule for those two days to help make clear what is going on each day. I believe the idea is on the 6th to brief the City Council in Mt. Vernon (15-30 minute meeting) and basically ask for their buy in for the project, which will be the RARE Grant researcher's meeting (essentially). In Marietta on the 7th, I anticipate Stephanie leading those discussions, and I would expect a meeting with the local health departments, maybe the community groups (there are two, and last time we met with them together), and then the evening community meeting. The community meeting is usually structured with an hour beforehand for media interviews, then the meeting starts with an intro about who we are and why we're here, our presentation of the consultation and identification of next steps (where we would like George to introduce the pilot study researcher/RARE grant recipient, and then the ATSDR proposed study. After that (probably about 45 minutes of presenting), we usually open the floor for questions and answers. The meeting usually goes from 7-9ish, including us hanging around 30 minutes or so at the end for microphone shy folks to approach us with their questions/concerns.

I will get you some logistics, though.

Michelle A. Colledge MPH, PhD
LCDR, U.S. Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry/NCEH/CDC, Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd., Room 413
Mailstop ATSD-4J
Chicago, Illinois 60604
Tel: 312-886-1462
Fax: 312-886-6066

Carlton
Nash/R5/USEPA/US

To
06/12/2009 09:39 AM Michelle Colledge/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
cc

Mark Johnson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
MaryPat Tyson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
William Macdowell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA

Subject
Re: Proposed Agenda-Thursday,
June 18 SH Bell and Eramet
Meeting(Document link: Michelle
Colledge)

Michele---Thanks for the quick development of the agenda.....a couple of thoughts.....

I am comfortable with an update discussion of SH Bell, but am concerned about the discussion getting very confusing, at least with this many parties and this many people involved. If the Mt.Vernon City Council meeting is July 6 and the Marietta public meeting is July 7 (slightly more than 3 weeks away), I think we should focus on those. If SH Bell is raised, perhaps we could have a separate and focused discussion at the end of this call/meeting.

What do you think about developing draft agendas for both the City Council and public meetings ? It would allow people to focus on the message, the messenger, sequence of speakers, purpose, allow us to make distinctions between the council meeting and the public meeting, and become comfortable with the role (or non-roles) of the 2 Federal and 2 State Agencies. etc. Or would it be better to develop draft agendas after the call so everyone can become comfortable with the protocol ?

Finally, for this group here, under the USEPA section, I think we should include:

4. Status of USEPA Schools Initiative

Thanks !!!

Michelle
Colledge/R5/USEP
A/US

To

06/12/2009 08:07 AM Carlton Nash/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
MaryPat Tyson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
William
Macdowell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, MARK
JOHNSON/R5/USEPA/US

cc

Subject

Proposed Agenda-Thursday, June 18
SH Bell and Eramet Meeting

Carl- Good point about the two sites. I can have a talk with Ohio EPA about SH Bell separately...I am intrigued about the enforcement action and need to make sure Paul knows I am close to finishing a consult so he and I can collaborate. Also, I think Bill MacDowell needs to be in any discussion re: enforcement with Ohio EPA because it sounds like Ohio EPA would prefer to finish their action separate from anything done by USEPA... So, maybe we can do an SH Bell call the following week as a larger group.

Unfortunately, I am not in control of the meetings in July to make the agenda. BUT, that said, I can and will ask our ATSDR principle investigator (epidemiologist), Stephanie Davis, for a tentative schedule for those two days to help make clear what is going on each day. I believe the idea is on the 6th to brief the City Council in Mt. Vernon (15-30 minute meeting) and basically ask for their buy in for the project, which will be the RARE Grant researcher's meeting (essentially). In Marietta on the 7th, I anticipate Stephanie leading those discussions, and I would expect a meeting with the local health departments, maybe the community groups (there are two, and last time we met with them together), and then the evening community meeting. The community meeting is usually structured with an hour beforehand for media interviews, then the meeting starts with an intro about who we are and why we're here, our presentation of the consultation and identification of next steps (where we would like George to introduce the pilot study researcher/RARE grant recipient, and then the ATSDR proposed study. After that (probably about 45 minutes of presenting), we usually open the floor for questions and answers. The meeting usually goes from 7-9ish, including us hanging around 30 minutes or so at the end for microphone shy folks to approach us with their questions/concerns.

I will get you some logistics, though.

Michelle A. Colledge MPH, PhD
LCDR, U.S. Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry/NCEH/CDC, Region 5
77 W. Jackson Blvd., Room 413
Mailstop ATSD-4J
Chicago, Illinois 60604
Tel: 312-886-1462
Fax: 312-886-6066

Carlton
Nash/R5/USEPA/US

To
06/12/2009 09:39 AM Michelle Colledge/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
cc

Mark Johnson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
MaryPat Tyson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
William Macdowell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject
Re: Proposed Agenda-Thursday,

June 18 SH Bell and Eramet
Meeting(Document link: Michelle
Colledge)

Michele---Thanks for the quick development of the agenda.....a couple of thoughts.....

I am comfortable with an update discussion of SH Bell, but am concerned about the discussion getting very confusing, at least with this many parties and this many people involved. If the Mt.Vernon City Council meeting is July 6 and the Marietta public meeting is July 7 (slightly more than 3 weeks away), I think we should focus on those. If SH Bell is raised, perhaps we could have a separate and focused discussion at the end of this call/meeting.

What do you think about developing draft agendas for both the City Council and public meetings ? It would allow people to focus on the message, the messenger, sequence of speakers, purpose, allow us to make distinctions between the council meeting and the public meeting, and become comfortable with the role (or non-roles) of the 2 Federal and 2 State Agencies. etc. Or would it be better to develop draft agendas after the call so everyone can become comfortable with the protocol ?

Finally, for this group here, under the USEPA section, I think we should include:

4. Status of USEPA Schools Initiative

Thanks !!!

Michelle
Colledge/R5/USEP
A/US

To

06/12/2009 08:07 AM Carlton Nash/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
MaryPat Tyson/R5/USEPA/US@EPA,
William
Macdowell/R5/USEPA/US@EPA, MARK
JOHNSON/R5/USEPA/US

cc